

1115Z 30 SEP 59

TOP SECRET

TOP SECRET

012

DIRECTOR

25X1A

DDP (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10)

ROUTINE

S/C (11)

GB

IN 08413

25X1A

TOR: 1305Z 30 SEP 59

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

0534

FOR [REDACTED]

25X1A
25X1A

REF: [REDACTED] 0533 (IN 08413)

25X1A

1. THIS MESSAGE INTENDED TO EXPAND A LITTLE ON "CONFUSION" MENTIONED AT IN REF AND IS ADDRESSED TO YOU PERSONALLY OUT OF CONTEXT OF [REDACTED] TO AVOID ANY CHANGE OF FURTHER CONFUSION.

2. [REDACTED] 2553 (OUT 52483) AND [REDACTED] 0462 (IN 08307) BOTH MENTION AN ADVANCE OF 1 DAY ON THE ORIGINAL [REDACTED] TIMETABLE. ALTHOUGH IT DOES NOT NOW EFFECT THE ISSUE [REDACTED] BELIEVES THE ADVANCE IS 2 DAYS ON THE ORIGINAL PLAN AS SET OUT IN THE OP ORDER WHERE IN PARA 1 A IT STATES GROUND FORCE IS TO ARRIVE [REDACTED] O/A 6 OCT. RELATING THIS TO THE PRE MISSION MOVEMENTS IN PARA 111 D(1) (A) (1) THIS GAVE AN ETA FOR THE [REDACTED] OF 0600Z O/A 7 OCT. IT SEEMED REASONABLE TO INTERPRET THESE DATES AS FIRM EXCEPT FOR DELAYS DUE TO WX. THIS INTERPRETATION WAS CONFIRMED BY [REDACTED] 0330 (NOT RECD OPEN) WHICH ASKED FOR THE SUPPORT C-130 TO BE IN PLACE AT [REDACTED] 5 OCT. IF THE ORIGINAL INTENTION WAS TO BRING THE C-130 AND [REDACTED] IN ON THE 5 AND 6 OCT RESPECTIVELY THE

TOP SECRET

25X1A

~~TOP SECRET~~

☐ 7634 (18 08415)

PAGE -2-

RESULTING SNAFU WOULD HAVE BEEN EMBARRASSING.

3. PERHAPS THE LESSON HERE IS THAT IF THE OP ORDER CANNOT BE SPECIFIC ON DATES IT IS NOT VERY HELPFUL FOR PLANNING PURPOSES. PERHAPS THIS IS ALL A FALSE ALARM BUT WE WOULD WELCOME YOUR COMMENT.

END OF MESSAGE

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~